

The premise and potential of model-based approaches to island archaeology: A response to Terrell

Thomas P. Leppard, Robert J. DiNapoli, John F. Cherry, Kristina Douglass, Jon M. Erlandson, Terry L. Hunt, Patrick V. Kirch, Carl P. Lipo, Sue O'Connor, Suzanne E. Pilaar Birch, Torben C. Rick, Timothy M. Rieth & Jillian A. Swift

To cite this article: Thomas P. Leppard, Robert J. DiNapoli, John F. Cherry, Kristina Douglass, Jon M. Erlandson, Terry L. Hunt, Patrick V. Kirch, Carl P. Lipo, Sue O'Connor, Suzanne E. Pilaar Birch, Torben C. Rick, Timothy M. Rieth & Jillian A. Swift (2021): The premise and potential of model-based approaches to island archaeology: A response to Terrell, *The Journal of Island and Coastal Archaeology*, DOI: [10.1080/15564894.2021.1904463](https://doi.org/10.1080/15564894.2021.1904463)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/15564894.2021.1904463>



Published online: 21 Apr 2021.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 88



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)



The premise and potential of model-based approaches to island archaeology: A response to Terrell

In a recent paper published in *The Journal of Island and Coastal Archaeology*, John Terrell (2020) objected to the proposition that islands can offer model systems to study human behavior and ecodynamics (see Cherry and Leppard 2018; DiNapoli and Leppard 2018; DiNapoli et al. 2018; Fitzpatrick and Erlandson 2018; Kirch 2007; McLaughlin, Stoddart, and Malone 2018; Pilaar Birch 2018). He argues that a review of insular model systems in the study of non-human taxa (Warren et al. 2015) is empirically flawed and theoretically incoherent and implies that these flaws also characterize islands as models for the study of humans. He further asserts that islands have no distinguishing features that facilitate the comparative study of human cultural and ecological processes over the long term, that the category “island” is not a useful scientific concept, and that a model systems approach is not relevant to contemporary island communities. We disagree with Terrell’s characterization of model systems thinking, but we welcome his challenge, as it provides an opportunity to clarify the rationale and advantages of this approach to island archaeology. Here, we show how the concept of “island” is intellectually coherent and analytically useful within model systems approaches and provide examples drawn from a range of research to demonstrate this utility.

Terrell (2020, 7–8) proposes that the concept “island” is too broad to be useful and that describing landmasses as islands constitutes problematic “typological thinking” (Terrell 2020, 2–3). This criticism, however, fails to recognize that all scientific analysis, including the relational approach for which Terrell advocates, requires constructing units to measure and compare the empirical world. Like all such analytic units, we must define the scope and scale of islands as concepts to produce observations of “islands” that we then identify and compare (see Dunnell 1971; Ramenofsky and Steffen 1998). The global terrestrial surface is divided into fragments that vary in size, fragments that are surrounded by water and that are subdivided further into heterogeneous habitat patches. The difference for Terrell between the largest of these fragments (continents) and the smallest (islands) is one of degree. Yet, the scalar variance within this dimension is substantial: Afro-Eurasia and Rota (Mariana Islands) are both terrestrial environments surrounded by water, but the former (85 million km²) is six orders of magnitude larger than the latter (85 km²). Magnitudinal scalar difference has profound biotic implications, most obviously in terms of trophic structure via species–area relationships (Brose et al. 2004; Galiana et al. 2018) and via spatial constraints on frequency of habitat types. The distribution of terrestrial environments across the globe also has predictable influences on patterns of plant and animal dispersal and evolution, with the result that empirically smaller terrestrial units contribute disproportionately to global biodiversity (Tershy et al. 2015). The choice of analytic scale, therefore, is related to questions

about the constraints on ecological interactions and ultimately to how we track evolutionary trajectories (Whittaker et al. 2017). Moreover, physical systems pertinent for understanding biodynamics—such as total area of coastal habitat—grow relative to other habitat types as landmass size decreases (see Gillis 2014). Finally, due to geotectonic processes, smaller landmasses often have volcanic origins, with concomitant effects on pedology, hydrology, and biota (Triantis et al. 2016). While insularity is a relative condition, for analytic purposes, the scale, distribution, and physiography of any landmass impose predictable constraints on biodynamics, and landmasses that cluster at the end of these dimensions consequently form a category as “islands.”

If insularity is an intellectually coherent concept, is the term useful analytically? Terrell (2020, 7) proposes that islands as a separate category have no demonstrable benefits in studying human behavior and ecodynamics. We disagree—as allometrically scaled versions of larger landmasses and associated physical and biological processes, islands have comparative analytic potential from a model systems perspective. The advantages islands provide as analytic units stem not from absolute isolation, but from their scale and relative sensitivity to perturbation. In understanding islands as models, we bound our observations with a defined scale. All models are simplifications of more complex phenomena that facilitate understanding of those phenomena. The simplicity of a model system should lie in its reduced number of variables or its smaller scale (Kirch 2007; Vitousek 2002), factors that make the effects of these variables easier to understand and measure. This facilitates the comparison of certain processes and outcomes between systems, often providing unique insights (e.g., DiNapoli et al. 2018; Kirch 1997). Such a perspective does not, as Terrell suggests (2020, 3–7), involve assuming islands are completely isolated “laboratories.” Rather, we study these units in terms of the degree to which they interacted with one another. Isolation between islands is self-evidently not the case, or there would be no biota on islands, human or otherwise, to study. Incidentally, and despite Terrell’s implication, none of us has ever argued that the Solomon Islands are isolated from one another.

Contrary to Terrell’s (2020, 7) claim, islands are therefore well-suited as model systems for exploring human behavior at a global scale. Local geographic constraints matter in evolutionary contexts, and human behavioral plasticity allows novel adaptations in the face of environmental variation; indeed, it is this adaptive capacity that has allowed humans to colonize and persist on diverse islands (Braje, Leppard, et al. 2017). Studying the stimuli for and consequences of such adaptations is challenging, however, and reducing the number of analytical variables is helpful. Comparing localized environmental contexts in which this occurred is an effective approach. In essence, islands should both prompt humans into highly novel adaptations and represent ideal settings to compare novel adaptations and relate them to environmental constraints.

Demonstrably, model systems approaches have proven cross-culturally effective in exploring topics of major anthropological significance. The transdisciplinary Hawai‘i Biocomplexity Project, for example, examined the complex relationships between ecology, land-use, and emergent community formation (Kirch 2011). Using Hawai‘i as a model, the project demonstrated how biogeochemical gradients influence agricultural intensification, human demography, and the emergence of social complexity (Kirch et al. 2012). Likewise, DiNapoli et al. (2018) examined divergent forms of competition

in two comparable insular contexts on Rapa Nui and Rapa Iti. Both are small (164 km² and 38 km², respectively) islands located at 27 degrees south, colonized at approximately the same time by culturally related groups. Despite these similarities, they witnessed deeply divergent post-colonization patterns in inter-group competition due to significant differences in the spatial distribution of agricultural land. These examples highlight the importance of islands for testing general hypotheses of human ecology (e.g., Mattison et al. 2016). Beyond these Pacific examples, resource-limited islands in the Mediterranean exhibit patterning in ecosocial dynamics (Leppard and Pilaar Birch 2016), and challenge assumptions about the ecological conditions that give rise to social inequality (French et al. 2020; Leppard 2019). Islands also serve as models when addressing questions that relate to deeper-time social dynamics, including patterning in initial settlement in the Mediterranean and Caribbean and its ecological and environmental basis (e.g., Cherry 1981, 1990; Giovas and Fitzpatrick 2014; Keegan and Diamond 1987; Plekhov, Leppard, and Cherry 2021) and subsequent processes of adaptation (see also Gjesfeld et al. 2019 for a sub-Arctic example). This utility of islands as analytic units is not limited to our own species, with recent model systems approaches isolating environmental variables to help explain the spatial and temporal organization of Lower and Middle Paleolithic settlement in Island Southeast Asia by non-modern humans (Shipton, O'Connor, and Kealy 2021).

Terrell (2020, 8) also suggests that the model systems approach “risks being dismissed by people living on islands in the Pacific for not caring enough about such global down-to-earth challenges as climate change, rising sea levels, and plastic pollution.” This ignores model systems research that addresses the first two topics, including a variety of archaeological and paleoecological research that involves using islands as models to develop insights into human impacts and sustainability with global conservation implications (e.g., Braje, Rick, et al. 2017; Douglass, Morales, et al. 2019; Erlandson 2012; Harris and Weisler 2018; Hofman and Rick 2018; Lambrides and Weisler 2016; Nogué et al. 2017; Rick et al. 2013, 2020; Russell and Kueffer 2019; Swift, Miller, and Kirch 2017, Swift et al. 2018; Wu, Chen, and Meadows 2019). Multiple studies also demonstrate that island archaeology provides model systems that are specifically effective for investigating the impacts of climate change (e.g., Douglass and Cooper 2020; Fitzhugh et al. 2019; Fitzpatrick and Braje 2019). Finally, archaeological interpretations of island colonization, settlement, and landscape management actively inform and sometimes exacerbate contemporary inequalities (e.g., Kato 2010). Island archaeology has a relevance to living communities, and it is imperative that island archaeologists act responsibly in investigating long-term ecosocial dynamics by building equitable partnerships with Indigenous and descendant island communities (Douglass, Walz, et al. 2019). In this regard, a model systems approach has the advantage that it can be used to investigate the socio-ecological legacies of settler colonialism that continue to impact many of the world’s island communities (e.g., Douglass and Cooper 2020) in a way that substantiates the self-determination of past and present island communities (Hau’ofa 1994).

Island archaeology has been dominated by isolationist and connectivist positions, and this has hindered synthesis and meaningful comparison. Approaches such as model systems allow us to move beyond this dichotomy. By encouraging comparison, they facilitate the construction of contextualized explanations of how and when island

communities built, maintained, or severed links at various spatial scales; and which factors promoted or inhibited these behaviors in general terms. We respectfully disagree with Terrell that model systems approaches represent a retrograde development. Rather, they allow us to move in precisely the relational direction for which he advocates (Terrell 2020, 4–6).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank John Terrell for his provocative article that has generated what we hope to be a constructive exchange of ideas; and the editors, for providing us with the opportunity to respond.

References

- Braje, T. J., T. P. Leppard, S. M. Fitzpatrick, and J. M. Erlandson. 2017. Archaeology, historical ecology, and anthropogenic island ecosystems. *Environmental Conservation* 44 (3):286–97. doi:[10.1017/S0376892917000261](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892917000261)
- Braje, T. J., T. C. Rick, P. Szpak, S. D. Newsome, J. M. McCain, E. A. Elliott Smith, M. Glassow, and S. L. Hamilton. 2017. Historical ecology and the conservation of large, hermaphroditic fishes in Pacific Coast kelp forest ecosystems. *Science Advances* 3 (2):e1601759. doi:[10.1126/sciadv.1601759](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1601759)
- Brose, U., A. Ostling, K. Harrison, and N. D. Martinez. 2004. Unified spatial scaling of species and their trophic interactions. *Nature* 428 (6979):167–71. doi:[10.1038/nature02297](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02297)
- Cherry, J. F. 1981. Pattern and process in the first colonization of the Mediterranean islands. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society* 47:41–68. doi:[10.1017/S0079497X00008859](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0079497X00008859)
- Cherry, J. F. 1990. The first colonization of the Mediterranean islands: A review of recent research. *Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology* 3 (1):145–221. doi:[10.1558/jmea.v3i1.145](https://doi.org/10.1558/jmea.v3i1.145)
- Cherry, J. F., and T. P. Leppard. 2018. Patterning and its causation in the Pre-Neolithic colonization of the Mediterranean islands (Late Pleistocene to Early Holocene). *The Journal of Island and Coastal Archaeology* 13 (2):191–205. doi:[10.1080/15564894.2016.1276489](https://doi.org/10.1080/15564894.2016.1276489)
- DiNapoli, R. J., and T. P. Leppard. 2018. Islands as model environments. *The Journal of Island and Coastal Archaeology* 13 (2):157–60. doi:[10.1080/15564894.2017.1311285](https://doi.org/10.1080/15564894.2017.1311285)
- DiNapoli, R. J., A. E. Morrison, C. P. Lipo, T. L. Hunt, and B. G. Lane. 2018. East Polynesian islands as models of cultural divergence: The case of Rapa Nui and Rapa Iti. *The Journal of Island and Coastal Archaeology* 13 (2):206–23. doi:[10.1080/15564894.2016.1276490](https://doi.org/10.1080/15564894.2016.1276490)
- Douglass, K., and J. Cooper. 2020. Archaeology, environmental justice, and climate change on islands of the Caribbean and southwestern Indian Ocean. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 117 (15):8254–62. doi:[10.1073/pnas.1914211117](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1914211117)
- Douglass, K., E. Q. Morales, G. Manahira, F. Fenomanana, R. Samba, F. Lahiniriko, Z. M. Chrisostome, V. Vavisoa, P. Soafiavy, R. Justome, et al. 2019. Toward a just and inclusive environmental archaeology of southwest Madagascar. *Journal of Social Archaeology* 19 (3): 307–32. doi:[10.1177/1469605319862072](https://doi.org/10.1177/1469605319862072)
- Douglass, K., J. Walz, E. Q. Morales, R. Marcus, G. Myers, and J. Pollini. 2019. Historical perspectives on contemporary human-environment dynamics in southeast Africa. *Conservation Biology* 33 (2):260–74. doi:[10.1111/cobi.13244](https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13244)
- Dunnell, R. C. 1971. *Systematics in prehistory*. New York: The Free Press.
- Erlandson, J. M. 2012. As the world warms: Rising seas, coastal archaeology, and the erosion of maritime history. *Journal of Coastal Conservation* 16 (2):137–42. doi:[10.1007/s11852-010-0104-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11852-010-0104-5)
- Fitzhugh, B., V. L. Butler, K. M. Bovy, and M. A. Etnier. 2019. Human ecodynamics: A perspective for the study of long-term change in socioecological systems. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports* 23:1077–94. doi:[10.1016/j.jasrep.2018.03.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jasrep.2018.03.016)


- Fitzpatrick, S. M., and T. J. Braje. 2019. Island and coastal archaeology as salvage archaeology. *Journal of Island and Coastal Archaeology* 15 (1):1–2. doi:10.1080/15564894.2019.1683657
- Fitzpatrick, S. M., and J. M. Erlandson. 2018. Island archaeology, model systems, the Anthropocene, and how the past informs the future. *The Journal of Island and Coastal Archaeology* 13 (2):283–99. doi:10.1080/15564894.2018.1447051
- French, C., C.O. Hunt, R. Grima, R. McLaughlin, S. Stoddart, and C. Malone, eds. 2020. *Temple landscapes: Fragility, change and resilience of Holocene environments in the Maltese Islands*. Cambridge: McDonald Institute Monographs.
- Galiana, N., M. Lurgi, B. Claramunt-López, M.-J. Fortin, S. Leroux, K. Cazelles, D. Gravel, and J. M. Montoya. 2018. The spatial scaling of species interaction networks. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 2 (5):782–90. doi:10.1038/s41559-018-0517-3
- Gillis, J. R. 2014. Not continents in miniature: Islands as ecotones. *Island Studies Journal* 9 (1): 155–66.
- Giovas, C. M., and S. M. Fitzpatrick. 2014. Prehistoric migration in the Caribbean: Past perspectives, new models and the ideal free distribution of West Indian colonization. *World Archaeology* 46 (4):569–89. doi:10.1080/00438243.2014.933123
- Gjesfeld, E., M. A. Etnier, K. Takase, W. A. Brown, and B. Fitzhugh. 2019. Biogeography and adaptation in the Kuril Islands. *World Archaeology* 51 (3):429–53. doi:10.1080/00438243.2019.1715248
- Harris, M., and M. Weisler. 2018. Prehistoric human impacts to marine mollusks and intertidal ecosystems in the Pacific Islands. *The Journal of Island and Coastal Archaeology* 13 (2):235–55. doi:10.1080/15564894.2016.1277810
- Hau'ofa, E. 1994. Our sea of islands. *The Contemporary Pacific* 6 (1):148–61.
- Hofman, C. A., and T. C. Rick. 2018. Ancient biological invasions and island ecosystems: Tracking translocations of wild plants and animals. *Journal of Archaeological Research* 26 (1): 65–115. doi:10.1007/s10814-017-9105-3
- Keegan, W. F., and J. M. Diamond. 1987. Colonization of islands by humans: A biogeographical perspective. *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory* 10:49–92.
- Kirch, P. V. 1997. Microcosmic histories: Island perspectives on “global” change. *American Anthropologist* 99 (1):30–42. doi:10.1525/aa.1997.99.1.30
- Kirch, P. V. 2007. Hawaii as a model system for human ecodynamics. *American Anthropologist* 109 (1):8–26. doi:10.1525/aa.2007.109.1.8
- Kirch, P. V., ed. 2011. *Roots of conflict: Soils, agriculture, and sociopolitical complexity in ancient Hawai'i*. Santa Fe, NM: SAR Press.
- Kirch, P. V., G. Asner, O. A. Chadwick, J. Field, T. Ladefoged, C. Lee, C. Puleston, S. Tuljapurkar, and P. M. Vitousek. 2012. Building and testing models of long-term agricultural intensification and population dynamics: A case study from the Leeward Kohala Field System, Hawai'i. *Ecological Modelling* 227:18–28. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2011.11.032
- Kato, H. 2010. Whose archaeology? Decolonizing archaeological perspective in Hokkaido Island. In *Indigenous archaeologies*, ed. M. M. Bruchac, S. M. Hart, and H. M. Wobst, 314–23. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press.
- Lambrides, A. B. J., and M. I. Weisler. 2016. Pacific Islands ichthyoarchaeology: Implications for the development of prehistoric fishing studies and global sustainability. *Journal of Archaeological Research* 24 (3):275–324. doi:10.1007/s10814-016-9090-y
- Leppard, T. P. 2019. Social complexity and social inequality in the prehistoric Mediterranean. *Current Anthropology* 60 (3):283–308. doi:10.1086/703174
- Leppard, T. P., and S. E. Pilaar Birch. 2016. The insular ecology and palaeoenvironmental impacts of the domestic goat (*Capra hircus*) in Mediterranean Neolithization. In *Géoarchéologie des îles de Méditerranée*, ed. M. Ghilardi, F. Leandri, J. Bloemendal, L. Lespez, and S. Fachard, 47–56. Paris: CNRS Editions.
- Mattison, S. M., E. A. Smith, M. K. Shenk, and E. E. Cochrane. 2016. The evolution of inequality. *Evolutionary Anthropology* 25 (4):184–99. doi:10.1002/evan.21491
- McLaughlin, T. R., S. Stoddart, and C. Malone. 2018. Island risks and the resilience of a prehistoric civilization. *World Archaeology* 50 (4):570–600. doi:10.1080/00438243.2018.1515656

- Nogué, S., L. de Nascimento, C. A. Froyd, J. M. Wilmshurst, E. J. de Boer, E. E. D. Coffey, R. J. Whittaker, J. M. Fernández-Palacios, and K. J. Willis. 2017. Island biodiversity conservation needs palaeoecology. *Nature Ecology and Evolution* 1 (7):1–9.
- Pilaar Birch, S. E. 2018. From the Aegean to the Adriatic: Exploring the earliest Neolithic island fauna. *The Journal of Island and Coastal Archaeology* 13 (2):256–68. doi:10.1080/15564894.2017.1310774
- Plekhov, D., T. P. Leppard, and J. F. Cherry. 2021. Island colonization and environmental sustainability in the postglacial Mediterranean. *Sustainability* 13 (6):3383.
- Ramenofsky, A. F., and A. Steffen. 1998. Units as tools of measurement. In *Unit issues in archaeology: Measuring time, space, and material*, ed. A. F. Ramenofsky and A. Steffen, 3–18. Salt Lake City, UT: The University of Utah Press.
- Rick, T. C., P. V. Kirch, J. M. Erlandson, and S. M. Fitzpatrick. 2013. Archaeology, deep history, and the human transformation of island ecosystems. *Anthropocene* 4:33–45. doi:10.1016/j.ancene.2013.08.002
- Rick, T., L. Reeder-Myers, T. J. Braje, and T. Wake. 2020. Human ecology, paleogeography, and biodiversity on California’s small Islands. *Journal of Island and Coastal Archaeology*. Advance online publication. doi:10.1080/15564894.2020.1767733
- Russell, J. C., and C. Kueffer. 2019. Island biodiversity in the Anthropocene. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources* 44 (1):31–60. doi:10.1146/annurev-environ-101718-033245
- Shipton, C., S. O’Connor, and S. Kealy. 2021. The biogeographic threshold of Wallacea in human evolution. *Quaternary International* 574:1–12. doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2020.07.028
- Swift, J. A., M. J. Miller, and P. V. Kirch. 2017. Stable isotope analysis of Pacific rat (*Rattus exulans*) from archaeological sites in Mangareva (French Polynesia): The use of commensal species for understanding human activity and ecosystem change. *Environmental Archaeology* 22 (3): 283–97. doi:10.1080/14614103.2016.1216933
- Swift, J. A., P. Roberts, N. Boivin, and P. V. Kirch. 2018. Restructuring of nutrient flows in island ecosystems following human colonization evidenced by isotopic analysis of commensal rats. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 115 (25): 6392–7. doi:10.1073/pnas.1805787115
- Terrell, J. E. 2020. Metaphor and theory in island archaeology. *Journal of Island and Coastal Archaeology*. Advance online publication. doi:10.1080/15564894.2020.1830892
- Tershy, B. R., K. W. Shen, K. M. Newton, N. D. Holmes, and D. A. Croll. 2015. The importance of islands for the protection of biological and linguistic diversity. *BioScience* 65 (6):592–7. doi:10.1093/biosci/biv031
- Triantis, K. A., R. J. Whittaker, J. M. Fernández-Palacios, and D. J. Geist. 2016. Oceanic archipelagos: A perspective on the geodynamics and biogeography of the world’s smallest biotic provinces. *Frontiers of Biogeography* 8 (2):e29605. doi:10.21425/F5FBG29605
- Vitousek, P. M. 2002. Oceanic islands as model systems for ecological studies. *Journal of Biogeography* 29 (5–6):573–82. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2699.2002.00707.x
- Warren, B. H., D. Simberloff, R. E. Ricklefs, R. Aguilée, F. L. Condamine, D. Gravel, H. Morlon, N. Mouquet, J. Rosindell, J. Casquet, et al. 2015. Islands as model systems in ecology and evolution: Prospects fifty years after MacArthur-Wilson. *Ecology Letters* 18 (2):200–17. doi:10.1111/ele.12398
- Whittaker, R. J., J. M. Fernández-Palacios, T. J. Matthews, M. K. Borregaard, and K. A. Triantis. 2017. Island biogeography: Taking the long view of nature’s laboratories. *Science* 357 (6354): eaam8326. doi:10.1126/science.aam8326
- Wu, S., R. Chen, and M. Meadows. 2019. Evolution of an estuarine island in the Anthropocene: complex dynamics of Chongming Island, Shanghai, P.R. China. *Sustainability* 11 (24):6921. doi:10.3390/su11246921


Thomas P. Leppard
Department of Anthropology,
Florida State University,
Tallahassee FL

 tleppard@fsu.edu

 <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4803-4061>


Robert J. DiNapoli
*Environmental Studies Program,
Department of Anthropology,
Harpur College of Arts and Sciences,
Binghamton University,
State University of New York, Binghamton NY*
 <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2180-2195>

John F. Cherry
*Joukowsky Institute for Archaeology and the Ancient World,
Brown University, Providence RI*
 <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7744-1769>

Kristina Douglass
*Department of Anthropology and Institutes of
Energy and the Environment,
The Pennsylvania State University,
University Park PA*
 <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0931-3428>

Jon M. Erlandson
*Museum of Natural & Cultural History,
University of Oregon, Eugene OR*
 <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4705-4319>

Terry L. Hunt
*Honors College and School of Anthropology,
University of Arizona, Tucson AZ*

Patrick V. Kirch
*Department of Anthropology,
University of Hawai'i, Mānoa, Honolulu HI*
 <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4264-6689>

Carl P. Lipo
*Environmental Studies Program,
Department of Anthropology,
Harpur College of Arts and Sciences,
Binghamton University,
State University of New York, Binghamton NY*
 <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4391-3590>

Sue O'Connor
*Archaeology and Natural History,
School of Culture, History and Language,
College of Asia and the Pacific,
Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, Australia*

*ARC Centre of Excellence for Australian Biodiversity and Heritage,
Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, Australia*

 <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9381-078X>

Suzanne E. Pilaar Birch
*Department of Anthropology and Department of Geography,
University of Georgia, Athens GA*

 <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1544-5881>

Torben C. Rick
*Department of Anthropology,
National Museum of Natural History,
Smithsonian Institution, Washington D. C.*

 <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8254-5885>

Timothy M. Rieth
International Archaeological Research Institute, Inc., Honolulu HI.

Jillian A. Swift
*Department of Anthropology,
Bernice Pauahi Bishop Museum, Honolulu HI*

 <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7436-1947>